



Г-ну БУРКОВУ А.Л.
СРОО 'Сутяжник'
ул. Тургенева, д. 11, оф. 1,
г. Екатеринбург, 620075
РОССИЯ / RUSSIE

ECHR-LE2.0aR
IA/mtr

21 September 2016

Application no. 47668/15
Korolevy v. Russia

Dear Sir,

I acknowledge receipt of your correspondence of 19 September 2016 requesting the European Court of Human Rights under Rule 39 of the Rules of Court to order the Russian prison authorities to carry out all procedures necessary to enable the second applicant to conceive a child from the first applicant by means of assisted reproductive technology (ART) in a duly licensed medical facility, or, alternatively, to order that the second applicant's ability to reproduce be preserved via the recovery and preservation of sufficient genetic material from her and from the first applicant to enable ART to take place at a later date.

This application falls outside the scope of Rule 39 and therefore has not been submitted to a judge for decision. The Court will not, therefore, apply the measure requested.

The Court applies Rule 39 only where an applicant faces an imminent risk of serious and irreparable damage. The vast majority of cases in which Rule 39 is applied concern deportation and extradition proceedings and involve complaints that the applicant will be at real risk of a violation of Article 2 (the right to life) or Article 3 (the right not to be subjected to torture or inhuman treatment) of the Convention, if sent to the destination State.

The file has been given the above number which you must refer to in any further correspondence relating to this case.

I would be grateful if you would inform me as soon as possible whether the applicants wish to continue with their complaints under the Convention. If no such information is received by 1 November 2016, the case may be struck out without further notice.

Yours faithfully,
For the Registrar

K. Ryngielewicz
Head of Division